amendment to rule 28.2 would clarify that when the rt decides to deny a petition for review but nevertheless w on its own motion, it may so order within the time that cion to grant the petition. visory Committee L. Kennard, Chair
Chair, Appellate Rules Project Task Force ; peter.belton@jud.ca.gov rson, Committee Counsel ; heather.anderson@jud.ca.gov
d) of rule 28.2 is ambiguous in prescribing the time the Supreme Court may order review on its own motion ich a party has filed a petition for review. In such cases occasionally decide to deny the petition but nevertheless w on its own motion—for example, when the party seeks in an issue that the court deems unworthy of review but eview on an issue that the court does wish to reach. The existently construed the rule to allow it to deny such a order review on its own motion within the time in which it is petition. This amendment would adopt that and clarify that the court may order such review within it has jurisdiction to grant the petition for review, i.e., of 90 days after the petition is filed. Ent would also reorganize certain provisions of the rule gical sequence.

Attachment

Rule 28.2 would be amended, effective January 1, 2004, to read:

1 2

Rule 28.2. Ordering review

(a) * * *

(b) Determination of petition

(1) The court may order review within 60 days after the last petition for review is filed. Before the 60-day period or any extension expires, the court may order one or more extensions to a date not later than 90 days after the last petition is filed.

(2) An order granting review must be signed by at least four justices; an order denying review may be signed by the Chief Justice alone.

(2)(3) If the court does not rule on the petition within the time allowed by (1), the petition is deemed denied.

(c) Grant and hold

On or after granting review, the court may order action in the matter deferred until the court disposes of another matter or pending further order of the court.

(d)(c) Review on the court's own motion

(1) In any case, If no petition for review is filed, the Supreme Court may, on its own motion, order review of a Court of Appeal decision within 30 days after the decision is final in that court. Before the 30-day period or any extension expires, the Supreme Court may order one or more extensions to a date not later than 90 days after the decision is final in the Court of Appeal. If any such period ends on a day on which the clerk's office is closed, the court may order review on its own motion on the next day the clerk's office is open.

(2) If a petition for review is filed, the Supreme Court may deny the petition but order review on its own motion within the periods prescribed in (b)(1).

19 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 43

(d) Order; grant and hold

- (1) An order granting review must be signed by at least four justices; an order denying review may be signed by the Chief Justice alone.
- (2) On or after granting review, the court may order action in the matter deferred until the court disposes of another matter or pending further order of the court.

Advisory Committee Comment (2003)(2004)

New rule 28.2 collects in one rule provisions of former rules 28 and 29.2 governing the transmittal of the record on petition for review, the time within which the Supreme Court may grant or deny review, "grant and hold" orders, and ordering review on the court's own motion.

Subdivision (a). Subdivision (a) of new rule 28.2 simplifies a provision of former rule 28(b) by directing the Court of Appeal clerk to send "the record" to the Supreme Court; further specification is unnecessary. The subdivision also deletes as unnecessary micromanagement the former directive to the Supreme Court clerk to retain and renumber that record if review is granted.

Subdivision (b). Former rule 28(a)(2) authorized the Supreme Court to grant review within 60 days after the filing of the last "timely" petition for review, but the word "timely" was both ambiguous and superfluous. The Supreme Court deems the 60-day period to begin on the filing date of the last petition for review that either (1) is timely in the sense that it is filed within the rule time for such petitions (i.e., 10 days after finality of the Court of Appeal decision) or (2) is *treated* as timely—although presented for filing after expiration of the rule time—in the sense that it is filed with permission of the Chief Justice on a showing of good cause for relief from default (former rule 45(c), now revised rule 28(e)(2)). In each circumstance it is the *filing* of the petition that triggers the 60-day period. New rule 28.2(b) therefore deletes the word "timely"; no substantive change is intended.

Subdivision (c). Subdivision (c) of new rule 28.2 is former rule 29.2(c). Its wording has been conformed to current Supreme Court practice; no substantive change is intended.

Subdivision (d)(c). Subdivision (d)(c) of new rule 28.2 is former rule 28(a)(1), authorizing orders of review on the Supreme Court's own motion. The former provision, however, apparently assumed the court would exercise this authority only in cases in which "no petition for review is filed." The assumption was not prima facie unreasonable, but in practice the court may occasionally wish to order review on its own motion even when a party has petitioned for review—for example, in a case in which the party seeks review only on an issue that the court deems unworthy of review and fails to seek review on an issue that the court does wish to consider. To fill this gap, subdivision $\frac{d}{c}{c}{c}{c}{2}$ simply expressly authorizes the court in such a case to "deny the petition but order review on its own motion in any case." within the periods prescribed in subdivision (b)(1), i.e., during the time that it has jurisdiction to grant the petition for review.

Subdivision (d). Subdivision (d)(2) of new rule 28.2 is former rule 29.2(c). Its wording has been conformed to current Supreme Court practice; no substantive change is intended.